

the Plaintiff that her complaint would be dismissed if she did not perfect service within 21 days. The Court attached Rule 4 to the Order and highlighted subsection 4(i) detailing the proper method of service for the United States, its agencies, officers, and employees. (Doc. 5). The Plaintiff responded to the Court's Order, attaching over 400 pages of documents dealing with the substance of her claim and prior administrative investigation but not further indicating that she complied with Rule 4(i) or explaining her failure to do so. (Docs. 6 through 6-7).

Pursuant to Rule 4(m), the Court, after notice to the Plaintiff, must dismiss the action without prejudice if the Defendants are not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, unless the Plaintiff shows good cause for the failure or the Court, in its discretion, extends the time for service without a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

The Plaintiff, having failed to explain why she has not properly served the Defendants, has made no showing of good cause. Further, the Court finds no other circumstances warrant an extension of time. Accordingly, the Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED without prejudice.**

SO ORDERED, this the 27th day of January, 2014.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT